

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

August 5, 1954
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor McAden presiding.

Roll Call:

Present: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Absent: None

Present also: W.E. Seaholm, City Manager; W. T. Williams, Jr., City
Attorney; C. G. Levander, Director of Public Works.

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND JOHN BARCLAY, Central Christian Church.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Councilman Pearson moved that the minutes of the previous meeting be approved with the correction noted by Councilman Long to correct the name of the compy to "Gibson Products Company". The motion, seconded by councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

MR. HARRY POLLARD represented the owners of the tract of land at the end of the alley between San Gabriel and Leon Streets in the 2200 block, who wanted the Council to rescind its action in passing an ordinance vacating this alley. He believed had the Council acted upon a full understanding of all the facts and determined on the basis of those facts that for the benefit of the public generally that the alley should have been closed, then the ordinance would have been valid, but the Council was not aware of all the facts, as at least two members had said that they would not have voted to pass the ordinance had they known that a property owner abutting on that alley was supposed to have a need for it, and that the ordinance is void because the Council acted upon a mistaken conception of fact. He did not believe tha alley had been vacated because of any health hazards or any danger to the public. MR. T.E. O'QUINN represented the property owners abutting the alley, stated his position was that

the ordinance was not void; and if repealed, the property owner's property rights would have been taken from them. The City Attorney gave his opinion on the matter stating he did not believe the ordinance was void; the ordinance was passed in public interest; a dead end alley served no useful public purpose; the closing of an alley has the effect of affecting the public interest and not any private rights of the abutting owners; that he would not pass on any question of any private rights the owner at the end of the alley had, as the ordinance passed by the Council would not destroy that right if it existed. It was his view that because the private rights had not been affected in any way, the ordinance was valid; if it were void, the private rights still had not been affected; and to rescind the Council action, might have the effect to subject the city to damages, and the Council might leave the ordinance as it now stands and not rescind it. Councilman Thompson suggested that the Fraternity try to develop their plans to enter from the street side and use their property for their drive. Councilman suggested that the Fraternity dedicate an alley through their property and get Mr. Murchison to dedicate an alley through his property to the street. She suggested an unpleasant situation developing if there were no alley and the Fraternity had to put their garbage out in front on Leon Street. After more discussion, and after MR. SOL SMITH, representing the Fraternity, had stated the Fraternity would dedicate 16' for alley provided it went all the way through if necessary, the Mayor advised the group to see if they could work this out with Mr. Murchison and report back to the Council in two weeks. (August 19th) MR. O'QUINN stated the property rights acquired by MR. CLARK, MR. SCHOOLFIELD, and MRS. OWERS were still there, and he was not in a position to commit their surrendering those.

Pursuant to published notice thereof the Council publicly heard the following zoning application:

CEN-TEX CONCRETE
PIPE COMPANY

901-921 Koerner Drive &
5409-13 & 5501-07 Bolm Rd.

From "A" to "D"
6 RECOMMENDED by
the Plan Commission

MR. MORGAN HUNTER represented the applicants, outlining the logical expansion of the industrial area. He submitted a letter, withdrawing the north 130' of the tract along Bolm Road, leaving it "A" at the present time; and out of this 130', donating 10' strip for widening Bolm Road. Also the applicant would donate 20' strip along the west side of the tract, conditioned on the city's constructing a street from Airport Blvd. to this tract and then to Bolm Road. Councilman White did not want to obligate the City for this much. MR. D. L. PLATT and MR. STERNBERG opposed the zoning, on the grounds there would be much dust, mosquitoes from the pipe, weeds, etc., and that the industrial zoning would ruin their place to live. MRS. WILLIAM ANDERSON did not think the planting would help much, as they might not keep it clean. Councilman Long explained a meeting she had with MR. MORGAN, and the altering of the original application as set out by MR. HUNTER. She believed the opening of the street would be a big help to the residents out there, enabling them to get water and gas, but she thought the building of the road as outlined would be difficult. MR. STERNBERG and MR. PLATT were not interested in the opening of this street, as it would mean much more traffic, and they were satisfied as it was now. Councilman Pearson believed that this little lane now, permitting only one water meter to the group was most undesirable; and as far as the planting, he believed it would be kept up satisfactorily. Councilman White stated Mr. Morgan had assured him this area would be graveled and there would be no weeds. After more discussion, the Council deferred action until the following week.

MR. J. O. MUSIC, President Ridgetop Civic Club, made a statement for record that the members of the Ridgetop Civic Club objected strenuously to the yearly increase of taxes. He requested the presence of the Mayor and other Council members and the City Manager at a meeting at 8:00 P.M., August 9, 1954, to constitute a forum to answer the questions of the citizen-taxpayers.

MR. PAUL HORNBERGER appeared before the Council requesting that it rescind its action in leaving the name of ROGGE LANE as such, and changing it back to P. W. SEIDERS ROAD as it has been in the County for many years. After explaining that the subdivision was accepted and recorded with this street named ROGGE LANE, and that only the part that was in the city limits was affected, Councilman Long moved that the Council uphold its action of July 15th continuing the name of ROGGE LANE. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Councilman Thompson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, T.H. Wade has made application in writing through his agent Doyle M. Baldrige for permission to erect and maintain a building to be used solely as a convalescent home for the aged complying with all the City and State regulations on the 9.91 David Wade tract in the Isaac Decker League, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, the same being on the West side of South First Street and locally known as 3200 South First Street, which property is located in a "A" Residence District and under Section #4, Item 12a of the Zoning Ordinance requires a special permit from the City Council; and

WHEREAS, this application has been considered and approved by the City Council of the City of Austin, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT permission for the use and maintenance of this building as a convalescent home at the above described address be granted to T H Wade.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Mrs. Leslie Green had made application in writing for permission to use and maintain in her residence a day nursery complying with all City and State regulations, on Lot 12, Sunny Ridge Addition, Outlot 21, Division C, in the City of Austin, Travis County Texas, the same being on the south side of East 37th Street and locally known as 908 East 37th Street, which property is located in an "A" Residence District and under Section #4, Item 13a of the Zoning Ordinance requires a special permit from the City Council; and

WHEREAS, this application has been considered and approved by the City Council of the City of Austin, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT permission for the use and maintenance of a day nursery at the location described above be granted to Mrs. Leslie Green.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Mayor McAden introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden.
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"July 30, 1954

"Memo to: Mr. W. E. Seaholm, City Manager
From : D. C. Kinney, Superintendent Electric Division

"Bids were opened July 29, 1954, at 2:00 P.M., for the electrical equipment for Wheless Lane Substation, consisting of complete steel structure, 69 KV bus complete with insulators, connectors and fittings, 69 KV air switches, and 15 KV outdoor metalclad switchgear complete with metering and relaying and one transformer breaker and three feeder breakers. These bids are tabulated as follows:

I.I.E. Circuit Breaker Co.	\$71,747.00	30 Weeks
Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co.	71,279.00	3-4 Months
General Electric Co.	71,825.00	18 Weeks
Westinghouse Electric Corp.	71,200.00	23 Weeks

"All of the above bids meet the specifications and the deliveries quoted are satisfactory. I recommend that we accept the low bid of Westinghouse Electric Corporation for \$71,200.00.

"(Sgd) D. C. Kinney
D. C. Kinney, Superintendent
Electric Division

Approved
(Sgd) W. E. S.
W. E. Seaholm
City Manager

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on July 29, 1954, for the furnishing of electrical equipment for the Wheless Lane Substation; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Westinghouse Electric Corporation in the sum of \$71,200.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Superintendent of the Electric Division of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Westinghouse Electric Corporation in the sum of \$71,200.00 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W.E. Seaholm, City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Westinghouse Electric Corporation.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

July 27, 1954

Memorandum to: Walter Seaholm, City Manager

Memorandum from: C. G. Levander, Director of Public Works

Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, July 27, 1954 for the construction of a Sanitary Sewer in the Taylor Branch Area:

Karl Wagner	\$69,228.50
Joe Bland Construction Co.	77,730.30
Austin Engineering Co.	81,105.81
City's Estimate	\$70,000.00

I recommend that Karl Wagner with his low bid of \$69,228.50 be awarded the contract for this project.

Councilman Pearson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on July 27, 1954,

for the construction of a sanitary sewer in the Taylor Branch Area; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Karl Wagner in the sum of \$69,228.50 was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Karl Wagner in the sum of \$69,228.50 be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. E. Seaholm, City Manager of the City of Austin, is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Karl Wagner.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

Mayor McAden introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ENTITLED: "AN ORDINANCE REGULATING TRAFFIC UPON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN; PRESCRIBING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION OF SAME; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY," WHICH ORDINANCE WAS PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, MAY 6, 1937, AND IS RECORDED IN BOOK "K", PAGES 159-179, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN BY AMENDING SECTION 12(b) OF ARTICLE III RELATING TO STOP SIGN LOCATIONS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilman Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor McAden introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED: "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE SPEED LIMIT FOR MOTOR VEHICLES IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND ESTABLISHING THE MAXIMUM, REASONABLE AND PRUDENT SPEED LIMIT ON CERTAIN DEFINED STREETS; PROVIDING A PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION OF SAME; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY," WHICH ORDINANCE WAS PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, SEPTEMBER 2, 1948, AND IS RECORDED IN BOOK "N", PAGES 291-293, INCLUSIVE OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BY AMENDING SECTION 2 RELATING TO MAXIMUM SPEED LIMITS ON CERTAIN STREETS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Long brought up the ordinance initiated by the fire department for consideration now rather than waiting 30 days in order to plan for the City Manager and the city in case it was decided to give the 63 hour-week for the firemen and put into operation the 40-hour week. She asked the City Attorney to explain just what is contained in the ordinance. The City Attorney listed the nine changes that the ordinance made. In discussion, MR. COFER stated there was some doubt if an initiative ordinance could be amended; and if there were something not satisfactory and that these men did not think was vital, the Council could adopt its own ordinance, and they would withdraw their petition. In discussing this ordinance with reference to setting a minimum wage, Mr. Cofer felt that the minimum wage was very vital to the ordinance, and he did not feel that if the hours were reduced that the administrative officer should have the power to reduce the wages accordingly. Councilman Thompson asked if he did not trust the administrative department not to do that. Mr. Cofer stated the experience in the past with reference to firemen's pay had been such as not to encourage leaving it to the Administration. Councilman Thompson noted that since this Council had come in, the firemen had been given a \$20.00 a month increase whereas others had been given \$10.00. After discussing other points in the ordinance, Councilman Long moved that the Council adopt the ordinance initiated by the firemen effective October 1st. Councilman White seconded the motion with the statement that if the City Attorney was not entirely satisfied with the wording that he and Mr. Cofer get together and work it out. In discussion Councilman Long stated the reason she was bringing this before the Council now was that the City Manager and heads of departments were studying the budget to be adopted by October 1st; and if they waited until October 1st, they would want to know where the money was coming from. Councilman White was for saving the expense of this election which would run about \$3,000. Councilman Pearson moved that the motion be tabled. The motion, seconded by Councilman Thompson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Pearson, Thompson, Mayor McAden

Noes: Councilmen Long, White

The Mayor stated they had 60 days from July 22nd to look this over. Councilman Long then moved that the Election be called for September 18th. Councilman White seconded the motion. In discussion, Councilman Pearson stated they had just been given this memorandum, and he thought Councilman Long was being very unfair with the other Council members in insisting on a vote. He had stated before that whatever he voted on, he wanted it to be fair with every employee working for the city. The Council had discussed before that it was going to consider various working conditions before it adopted the budget for 1955 and it was his intention to still do so. Councilman Long said she did not intend to be unfair, but after the money was appropriated, then they would want to know where it was coming from, and then there was the suggestion to increase the water rates or the electric rates or the tax rate to get this money, and the firemen would have to bear the burden of an increase just because they got 63 hours a week. She believed the 40 hour week should be put into operation, and that it should be done before the budget is adopted. Councilman White had been for this 40-hour week for a long time, but it never did develop. Councilman Pearson asked Councilman Long if she preferred to wait until they had had an opportunity to study this or did she prefer calling an election; and if she did not think it would be wise for the Council to discuss this rather than taking a vote. She replied if the Council intended to put the 63-40 hour a week in she was not in favor of calling an election. She said the Council had 30 days--and stated if they had 30 days, they would stall 30 days; if they had sixty days, they would stall sixty days. If the budget comes up

and is adopted and the plans already made, it would be too late. Councilman Thompson stated that the matter of hours and wages of people who work for the city was a serious matter from several standpoints--the people have to make a living in face of the cost of living, and the people responsible, such as the Council, has to make decisions that bear directly on the lives of the people who work; and anyone sitting in this position with a human heart cannot help but feel that everybody should have a good break. Secondly, the administration of the city's business is concerned in this matter because in order to build and maintain an efficient organization for the city, this administration has to be able and kept in position to compete in the labor market and one of the elements of being able to compete is hours of work and rates of pay. The City Council has the responsibility of keeping the administration in a position to maintain an efficient organization. This Council is also faced with the responsibility of seeing that the total budget expenditures of this city do not get out of line and impose an unnecessary burden upon the tax payers. He stated in the last few days in discussion of these matters with the City Manager and others, he felt that it might be the essence of good judgement and prudent management to go ahead and shorten the hours of labor in the City which would in effect raise hourly wages. He had voted already to raise the pay of the city employees five percent across the board and \$20.00 a piece per month for the firemen and policemen. This Council has raised the wages of the city employees, and he did not know why Mrs. Long would imply that the rest of the Council was insensitive to the problems of the city employees; and it was his opinion and he wanted it for the record that not only Mrs. Long and Mr. White, but also Mr. Pearson, Mayor McAden and he were quite sensitive to the problems of the people that work for the city. He was also sensitive to the taxpayers and the problem of the home owners that have to pay their bills. He had made some investigation of the working conditions of the city employees in the fire department; and if what is done in other cities is a reasonable guide and rule to go by in determining what should be done here, then statistics as to what is being done in other cities should be taken into consideration. Hewas confident that this whole City Council would come to a decision to dispose of this problem in plenty of time to either call an election or vote an ordinance; but right at the moment he was not ready to say. He did not believe that the rights and privileges of the firemen were being denied in anyway if the Council delayed this, and he asked Mrs. Long to withdraw her motion, until the Council had time to properly consider this and come to a decision. Councilman Long stated that 12,000 people had signed the petition. After more discussion, Councilman Long stated she would not withdraw her motion, because the Council would have 30 days between now and then, because that election does not necessarily have to be held, as they could rescind this action. The City Attorney explained the steps necessary to be taken when an election was called. Councilman Thompson moved that the motion be tabled. The motion, seconded by Councilman Pearson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Pearson, Thompson, Mayor McAden
Noes: Councilmen Long, White

Councilman Pearson believed within two weeks the Council could get together in a meeting.

MR. H. L. CRISWELL, Austin Trades Council, asked for permission to hold the regular Labor Day Parade, September 6th. Councilman Long moved that this request be granted, details to be worked out with the City Manager as usual. The motion, seconded by Councilman Thompson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Pearson, Thompson, White, Mayor McAden
 Noes: None

It was announced that the personnel policies would be considered next week.

The following applications for change of zoning were set for public hearing at 11:00 A.M., August 26th:

**MARK BURNETT	Jefferson St. & Bull Creek Road	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt. & Area To "C" Commercial 6th Hgt. & Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
HOME SAVINGS LIFE INS. CO., ET AL	East 11th and Neches Sts. Lots 2,3 & 4, Blk. 138, Lots 5 & 6, Blk 120, Original City	From "B" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED plus 1 lot by the Planning Commission
FOREST S. PEARSON	Cumberland Road & So. 1st Streets Lots 6-10, Blk C, Lots 9-14, Blk D, Pearson Subdivision	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
DELLA ANDERSON	4307-09 Bellvue Ave. Lots 4 & 5, Blk 7, Alta Vista Subdivision	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission
FRANK DARLINGTON	400 Alpine Road 1 acre out of Isaac Decker League	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt & Area To "B" Residence 6th Hgt & Area RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission
H. A. SCHUYLER	Brooks Street & Interregional Hwy. Lots 1-6 and 8-11, Blk 3, Brooks Subd.	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt and Area To "C" Commercial 6th Hgt and Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission
V. P. JERNIGAN	3505 Neal St. N. 90' of Lot 1, Cherico Subd. #1	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

*EDWARD JOSEPH	3400-3402 Fruth St.	From "B-1" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED as amended by the Planning Commission
H. J. PETMECKY	1208-28 & 1217-1315 South Lamar Lot 7, Evergreen Hgts, and Unplatted 3.55 Acre tract	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission
M. L. LILES	5413-17 Interregional Hwy. & 5406-5508 Cameron Rd. Lots 51 & 52, Duval Heights	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

** Mr. Burnet withdrew this at this time and did not want a public hearing, as he wanted to make a different application or submit a different plan.

* MR. BRUCK asked postponement of this for one week.

The Council received notice from the City Manager that the following applications for change of zoning had been referred to the Plan Commission:

PHILIP BASHARA C. J. GULLETT	901-03 Springdale Rd. 4600-5602 Lyons Rd. 900-908 Whote Ave. 4601-4605 Bolm Rd.	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt & Area and "D" Industrial 3rd Hgt & Area To "C" 6th Hgt & Area
B. D. WATKINS, SR.	705-07 East 53rd & 5410 Eilers Avenue	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
DR. C. H. ROPER	2316-18 Euclid & 200-02 Oltorf	From "A" Residence 1st Hgt & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Hgt & Area

There being no further business the Council adjourned at 1:30 P. M.
subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED


Mayor

ATTEST:


City Clerk